## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA AIKEN DIVISION

Gifford Brown,	)
Petitioner,	)
vs.	) Civil Action No. 1:12-139-TLW-SVH
Warden Cartledge, McCormick Correctional Institution,	) ) )
Respondent.	) ) )

## **ORDER**

Petitioner Gifford Brown ("Petitioner") brought this civil action, *pro se*, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. (Doc. # 1).

The matter now comes before this Court for review of the Report and Recommendation ("the Report") filed by Magistrate Judge Shiva V. Hodges to whom this case had previously been assigned. In the Report, the Magistrate Judge recommends that the Respondent's Motion for Summary Judgment be granted. (Doc. # 23). Objections were due by September 10, 2012. Petitioner has filed no objections to the Report.

This Court is charged with conducting a <u>de novo</u> review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that Report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. <u>See Camby v. Davis</u>, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

The Court has carefully reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.

For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate

Judge's Report and Recommendation is ACCEPTED. (Doc. # 23). The Respondent's Motion

for Summary Judgment is therefore **GRANTED**. (Doc. # 16). The Petitioner's application is

**DENIED** and the action is **DISMISSED**.

The Court has reviewed this petition in accordance with Rule 11 of the Rules Governing

Section 2254 Proceedings. The Court concludes that it is not appropriate to issue a certificate of

appealability as to the issues raised herein. Petitioner is advised that he may seek a certificate

from the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals under Rule 22 of the Federal Rules of Appellate

Procedure.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

October 3, 2012 Florence, South Carolina

s/Terry L. Wooten
United States District Judge